

Dr. Sam Kennedy's Take No Prisoners – Sunday January 27, 2008

Take No Prisoners

Complete

Contacts:

takenoprisoners@hush.com (short messages)

restore.america@hotmail.com (comments)

Rockland Course, \$200 (cash or blank Money order) donation to:

Brian Williams, c/o PDFM 159 Delaware Avenue, #229, Delmar, New York 12054

Note: The 1st Law Merchant Leadership Conference has taken place (end of April).

There will be more conferences – contact robertat@hush.com for details.

The Law Merchant Leadership Conference from Aug. 2nd through Aug. 6th in Albany, New York has taken place.

The free Collecting in Bankruptcy Seminar September 15th and 16th in Albany on bankruptcy court has been held.

The Law Merchant Leadership Conference from October 11th through October 15th in Albany, New York has taken place.

Notary Service (find a competent private notary): notarydepot@hush.com

Surety service (for indemnity bonds) also: notarydepot@hush.com

Filing and Apostille Service: pfile@hush.com

Note: A new package is available:

Contract Dispute Settlement Package

(with Attorney Elimination Strategies).

This package is for private disputes only.

The package can be obtained for a donation by writing an e-mail to:

sharon@rescueteam.com

**Writ of Habeas Corpus and the Writ of Prohibition and the Manual for Freedom are available at
www.commonlawvenue.net**

Republic Broadcasting Network

http://republicbroadcasting.org

Call-in number: 1-800-313-9443

Show time:

Sunday at 9 p.m., Eastern Standard Time

This is Sam Kennedy coming to you live on this 27th day of the first month in the year of our Lord, Yahweh, 2008. I've been in seclusion most of the day, friends, communing with my Maker in contemplation of tonight's program. Let's see if I learned anything because this is a very serious undertaking.

Two weeks ago I reported a story about a man I'm proud to call my friend who managed to arrange a release on his own recognizance despite being arrested for failure to appear and a prosecutor in the background screaming for a cash bond. I reported on his release the same day once they had his consent on an appearance bond, which is all they need and all they care about. Last week I told you of his arrest by a twelve marshal swat team and the fascinating story of his holding court in the Federal temple. I reported on his issuing orders for the arrest of two judges, the arrest of a bailiff, warrants for the arrest of the actor's bonds, a covenant of peace which two of the marshals signed rather than confess that he was public property, and I reported on his use of a lien and threats to hold a yard sale at that courthouse which resulted in the removal of one of the judges in fiction. I also reported that the chief judge a few minutes later popping his head into the theater to dismiss the entire case. All charges and those big bad sealed indictments that they had threatened him with, all mysteriously vanished like a puff of smoke. From dust to dust. All of Ramsey's religious trappings, the actors, the pagan laws, the false idols, all of them brought to their knees by a lone determined shepherd. I told you of ten marshals begging his forgiveness after the fact and judges praying to him for salvation as the hallowed Federal Hall of Horrors collapsed under its own weight in its own hot air leaving us with nothing more than the Last Chance Café and Dinner Theater.

Folks, I'm not going to bore you with the old clichés tonight, like it's an honor to be arrested. That corporation masquerading as mankind's greatest governmental experiment is dedicated to the singular purpose of its own survival. To that end it must force your consent to worship its endless inventory of idolatrous images and badges and buildings and uniforms and libraries and papers ad infinitum. To that end it employs an army of deluded men willing to whisper in your ear, "*Just—sign—here* and you can go home, tonight." However, tonight I will bring you as promised in my e-mail, yesterday, a new perspective, the opportunity to transform your fear of the unknown into amusement. I'm not kidding, amusement. I'm offering you the prospect of shedding the mystified concepts of arrest, arraignment, shackles and hearings you've been fed your entire life and replace that fear with gleeful anticipation of your opportunity to hold court, memorialize your remedy, and dismiss agent Smith like a mosquito. And when we return after a brief intermission we'll give you the Manual for Freedom.

{break}

Tonight, I'm hoping to bring you a new perspective where fear is banished from your dealings with the United States. Tonight, you can secure your place in the Second Exodus from Egypt. I'll be unveiling a roadmap to freedom, a step-by-step manual to conduct court, to impose your authority - *your* authority - and to walk God's green earth as The One, the free man to whom the pagans apologize and pay their respects. If you do not consent they are powerless. If you command your authority they must listen.

The program is being constructed as an open letter dedicated to Wesley and Eddie, both currently in trial, Walter, Gene, Ruthie, Patrick, Robert, Philip, Christopher, Susan, Teddy, Jennifer, Ron, Carley, and literally thousands of other political prisoners. At this very moment, my friends, there are people listening to my voice who are sitting in motels waiting to present themselves as pagan worshippers in the morning, Monday morning. So, let me ask you to consider this:

Is life so dear or peace so sweet as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? That quote from Patrick Henry doesn't even touch the surface. When we ask our spouses, our children, siblings and parents to be felonious monsters and sociopaths in the eyes of their fellow men, which is exactly what we are asking them to do when we implore them to pay their "debts" and serve their time, when we ask them to submit to abject humiliation, to be strip searched not even considering what it would be like for us to

be strip searched and to be humiliated at every step by strangers who know nothing of our lives or their lives and who value them only as property was valued in ancient Rome. We are not just asking them to place their lives and certainly their health at daily risk because loss of health in prison is a given. So, we're not only asking them for a sufficiently repugnant request on its face, just go in there and get it over with, but are we not asking them to reject the Almighty, disavow divine law and bow before false gods who present their badges, their uniforms, their discipline, their authority, and their guns as deities to be worshipped? And are we not asking them to do that only for the sake of our own peace of mind?

Are we asking those relatives of ours to teach our children about submission to authority or are we really asking them to seduce our children into pagan worship? Which is it? Something to consider as the program unfolds and we'll come back to that topic with a special message during the final segment.

Those of you who know me know that I've been interacting for years with the United States through abatement. I return defective process from my court, the court of original jurisdiction pursuant to the Law as established in millenniums past by my Lord. I believed that this approach was not applicable to most living men, but as our movement has matured my opinion has also matured. Since 2007 witnessed the stampede of souls into the Kingdom, I do believe that now is the time.

So, let me begin with an observation that those of you who are sitting in prison may not like when you read this transcript. And this transcript will find its way into the prisons across America very quickly. To my friends in prison: you are in prison because you have chosen to pray to men instead of the Lord. Now, if that sounds harsh, let me tell you, there are many folks who have declined the invitation to prison by refusing to participate in the bankruptcy of their Law, the word of their Lord. They are free as a bird and the United States, believe me, wants no part of them. End that heresy, my friends, and you will be set free; end it not and you will waste away until you have paid as was said in Mathew your uttermost farthing. If you gain nothing more from this program mark those words carefully.

Withdraw your consent, cease the worship of idols, pray not to the institutions of men and their baseless regulations, issue orders instead of petitions from the court of the Lord or at least in the presence of Almighty Yahweh, disavow those blasphemous motions and petitions where you prayed to men, and you shall walk the earth as free men. Those petitions, even the ones I've supplied were an interim technology just like plasma television. It is time to stand on your own two feet. Consent not and you will descend not. Seek the word of the All High and you shall walk tall among men.

If you believe in your heart that the devil needs your consent then you already have all the necessary knowledge to be a free man. They require your consent to presume they are judges, to presume you're a fiction defendant, to presume they can impose a sentence. Consent not and they cannot impose or enforce that sentence without returning to their home and blowing a hole in their own head. Their judges manuals preach the need for consent on every page. Their actions scream consent everyday when they seek your signature. If they didn't need it, they would not ask for it. Without your consent they're stripped of power, completely stripped of power other than to force you into slavery, which rarely if ever, happens because you wind up consenting. Every federal judge-in-fiction knows this basic principle so their playbook is simple, force your consent to submit to their rituals.

With that knowledge, folks, every one of you listening to my voice can strip the actors of their facades and walk the earth a free man in service of our Lord. I would like to read you from a document I wrote just yesterday, literally off the presses, to assist those folks I mentioned in coming out of the closet and embracing the word of the Most High. This is an open letter to living men wherever they be, whether incarcerated, in prison, in jail, on a barge in the middle of the ocean, on an island sequestered behind fences. The decision to go public, by the way, was mine. All the contempt citations in the world, all the restrictions in prison, all the screening and spyware, all the rules of evidence combined cannot suppress this level of truth. It's been tried by the legendary tyrants of history, it's failed under the tyrants of history

every time, and it will fail here. Within 48 hours transcripts of this program will be moving through the Federal dinner theater like a plague. So, I say to the feds, I say to all of you listening,...

DO YOUR WORST. We care nothing about your tactics, we know who we are. Your choice is simple, you can kill us in your gulags or you can let us go. We grant you no other options. Do you have the stomach for it? I do, because we are not backing down. If you try to contain us we will infest your institutions and you'll have larger problems to handle than escapes and riots. We will hold our grand juries in your death camps; we will indict you, arrest you, try you and condemn you from inside your own prisons. We will have the force of truth and the Lord and you will have fiction and deceit and I will teach them how to do it, tonight, so there is no turning back. At the end of the program I'll announce where you can download a sovereign's Writ of Habeas Corpus and a Writ of Prohibition, part borrowed, part stolen, part original and also tonight's open letter, tonight's manual of freedom. Do not copy, borrow or use these tools unless you first decide who you are, commit to it with your uttermost breath, and own your own destiny and be willing to die for the cause of being free. Believe me, Gandhi required no typewriter for his authority. And we certainly know Yeshua required nothing for His. So, with your permission, folks, let's move on to the manual. This is titled at the top of the page:

Memorandum
Terminating while impeded version 1.1

Very likely version 1.2 will be ready later tonight. The entire manual comes under the heading:

IF IT WERE ME, I WOULD...

and here's what I would do:

1. I, Samuel Thomas Kennedy would keep it simple if I were caught up at this late date, if I were facing sentencing or the supposed requirement to report tomorrow morning. I would keep it simple unless I had time to develop some commercial remedies which can be of great assistance in these matters.
2. I would give power-of-attorney to my intended attorneys-in fact, and possibly my signature or on stamp.
3. I would finish all outstanding commercial process and administrative remedies including liens which are under way, circumstances permitting. I direct liens generally at this point in time against the bonds of debtors. And they don't like it. I have personally received numerous threats about that, and you know what? Every e-mail I've ever received from parties who have continued down the commercial process, have said to me, "Hey, Sam they threatened this, that and the other thing; I executed the lien and they've disappeared." Now, I'm not suggesting its risk free; I'm suggesting I don't care as to my own safety.
4. I would correct past errors:
 - a) giving top priority to **rescinding all signatures, nunc pro tunc**, which many of you understand means retroactive to the signing date.

Alright, folks, that music means that we're heading to commercial; we're going to pick up with item 4 a) rescinding all signatures when we return. My apologies for the technical glitches. The Lord doesn't want to make our life too simple. We'll be gone for three minutes. Please join me after the intermission.

{break}

Welcome back, my friends. Before I forget, the prosecution rested late Friday in the trial of Wesley Snipes and Eddie Kahn. Eddie has not been participating and every day they have visited his cell and asked him wishes to consent or participate, and he has declined. Friday afternoon the judge issued an order that Eddie be brought into court 8:30 a.m., Monday, and I am hoping that with the benefit of these materials and the discussions that Eddie has been privy to, and some other things that are ongoing which I'm not a liberty to discuss, that Eddie will take the reins and terminate his kidnapping. They have been living moment to moment on donations, and I urge everybody out there to please grab a checkbook if you have one and send a donation to Eddie Kahn at P.O. Box, Tavares, Florida 32778. I'm sure he would like to hear a nice uplifting message, as well. He's lost thirty pounds in that prison; he's down to 160 pounds, and as you know, the victim of a bona fide kidnapping across slave international borders.

Back to the Manual for Freedom.

Topic 4 a). This is under the item, correct past errors.

4a) I would **rescind all signatures *nunc pro tunc*** which means retroactive to the signing date on all slave documents, appearance bonds, all motions I had put in—you hear that, Eddie—all motions and pre-trial and probation agreements. If I were Wesley Snipes, I would rescind when I proceeded with some other procedures we'll discuss, once I realize I will be convicted and I've got nothing to trade off because Eddie is in the same trial. The prosecution may have outwitted itself on this one. If I were Wesle, upon firing those attorneys I would issue a global rescission of signature on everything that has been done including any agreements with the attorneys so that the documents they issued by way of their signature no longer had relevance in my life. Now, if I had signed many of these documents in prison and signed for paper and this and that, I would perform a global rescission that would read something very simple:

“I, Samuel Thomas Kennedy, sovereign in fact, a living Christian man on the land until proven otherwise, do hereby rescind for cause of failure to disclose risks, perils, and responsibilities, all signatures executed in my natural and representative capacities without exception on a certain appearance bond dated _____ and any and all other offers, documents, instruments and process issued to me or legal fiction, SAM KENNEDY, in the matter of _____ (and I would name the case) with the exception of any and all signature executed for the purpose of accepting for value any such offers and/or documents. All such offers, proceedings, document, actions, statements and instruments are hereby accepted for value and returned for full credit, by notice all such acceptance signatures whether expressed or implied remaining in full force and effect.”

You could also shorten it to two sentences.

“I rescind all signatures on every document you've sent me or legal fiction _____, leaving intact all signatures on every banker's acceptance for value notation.”

Simple. By the way, instead of sending me an e-mail asking how to rescind a document, why don't you simply refer to this program in the future? Folks, the music means we're headed to a break. When we return, we're going to have a long segment during which I'll have the privilege of reviewing a whole host of the other procedures that I would follow if it were me facing the grim reaper. We'll be back in three minutes and I invite you to stay tuned.

{break}

My apologies for speaking fast tonight. We have little time and a need to dispense a complete message for those who require the information before morning.

Item 4b:

4b) After rescinding all signatures I would give top priority to filing **NOTICE OF WAIVER OF BENEFITS AND PRIVILEGES** and **NOTICE dissolving all adhesion contracts**. That can be as simple or as complicated as one desires. I would file those notices nunc pro tunc, standing thereafter on my **RIGHT OF AVOIDANCE** and I would give notice of same, if time permits, by filing those documents in the county. Basically, I'm saying: "I waive all benefits and privileges from the United States and all political subdivisions thereof, not to include your wonderful judiciary and I ask nothing from you and I give nothing to you. Kiss off; have a nice day."

4c) Now, if time permitted I would file notice of all of the above, the rescission notice, the waiver of benefits and privileges, and the notice dissolving all the adhesion contracts on the Secretary General of the United Nations, the US president and Secretaries of State, Transportation and Commerce, the attorney general, directors of the Secret Service, FBI, marshal service and Bureau of Prisons, the Supreme Court, the applicable appellate and District Courts of the United States, and probably I would give similar notice to my state fiction counterparts of the above, just as I did when I executed my treaty with the United States many years ago.

4d) Finally, item D is marked optional and it says: Settle and close all liabilities attributed to the defendant and re-draft all contracts using BIC process. That would include indictments, pre-trial, trial, orders restricting mobility, restraining orders, things of that sort, time permitting. If it was the night before, obviously, I'm not going to spend a lot of time executing commercial documents.

Number 5:

5. I would prepare my court. Now, mine already is. Mine is prepared in my head and mine is prepared in my abatements, but I don't need my abatements. I can walk in naked with my arms strapped behind me in a straight jacket. If I'm shackled to a table, my court is still present. It's in my head, more importantly, it's in my heart. I will NOT petition their fictions for a toothpick. I issue orders from my jurisdiction as the court. My court is the court of Yahweh, a superior court, Our one supreme court, the original jurisdiction. I know all of you Constitutionlists out there just about fell off your couches. But I've got news for you, the Constitution is not the original jurisdiction and who in the hell came up with that concept. Like a 16mm projector, the Constitution was an interim technology until men were ready to apply the truth. It's never had any authority over an insect let alone living men. But it remains a very effective tool when a patriot uses it politically to exploit the need of public officials and judges to pay the Constitution lip service because publicly, in front of the media and members of the general public, they *cannot* admit that the Constitution is not in effect. So, I enjoy evoking their public admission of it's legendary rights and remedies. In earthly terms, one way of many to present a sovereign court in its heading is this:

Our one supreme court
held at _____ county, nation _____
a superior court for the People, original jurisdiction under Yaweh
third judicial district of tens
exclusive superior jurisdiction **of the district court to the federal circuit** under confederation
united States of America, dejure

On the other hand, we don't have to explain the nature of the court in the heading. *They* don't explain. What party in true authority would begin listing his credentials? But we might note it elsewhere in the document. What did David Clarence say on his?...In the presence of Almighty Yahweh, which is an excellent statement you might add under the particular judicial *district of tens*, if in fact, you have

prepared appropriate documentation for the district of tens. And if you don't know what that is, look up Cancllatura on the web. One line in the heading might say:

Exclusive superior jurisdiction of the District Court to the Federal Circuit under Confederation...

if you're following a methodology paralleling David Clarence. And underneath, one might add:

united States of America, de jure.

Now, folks, this is one global way of stating these things and there are dozens. I've listed probably half a dozen different choices in the Writ of Prohibition and the Great Writ of Habeas Corpus that will be available to you at a specific website by tomorrow morning. District of tens, by the way, if you don't know, is derived from Exodus 18:21. And as David Clarence has quite ably noted, 28 USC 1451 defines for the purposes of the removal chapter: 1) The term "State court" includes the Superior Court of the District of Columbia; (2) The term "State" includes the District of Columbia. Basically, they're all courts in the District of Columbia circuit. It is quite possible to remove the case on your order. In their own code [28 USC 1451] it states that it's the defendant who removes the case. We could also fill the abandoned offices of county notary, clerk of court and justice of the peace by giving notice of our oath, our commission, a silver bond, and a seal for each of those offices, in the public venue. There are other ways to do that, as well. Now, if I were so qualified I might shrink the heading to three or four lines

district court to the federal circuit
held at _____ county, _____ nation
a superior court for the People, original jurisdiction under Yahweh
united States of America, dejure

The court name held in the particular county and the particular nation. I would probably point out that it's a superior court for the people under the original jurisdiction of Yahweh. united States of America, de jure might appear, as well.

Number six:

6. I would prepare an order such as Habeas Corpus, a Writ of Prohibition and an order of dismissal, an order of removal. I might prepare all of those in advance from my court for presentation in open court as a foreign judgment, and I would sign multiple original copies for myself and my attorneys-in-fact. I would place the seal of my court on each of those documents, either by embosser or if I were incarcerated I would draw one by hand, draw a circle and my seal if I was indisposed. That's not a new technique. Small companies, small corporations, have been doing that for years if they did not want to spend funds on an embosser.

Number 7 is entitled: Who Am I?:

7. Who Am I. Once I know, believe and truly understand that I am the court, I will act accordingly:
7a) Authority. We do not argue jurisdiction. They cannot overcome and we do not want to allow them to overcome the authority issue. That is straight from the horse's mouth: my friend, the patriot who became a free living sovereign man two weeks ago. **Does their piece of paper grant them authority superior to my granted authority through the word of Yahweh?** Accordingly, I will not tolerate their questioning my authority any more than a fiction judge would show such tolerance. That's me speaking.

7b) **"By what authority do you come before me."** We posture that question the *very first time* the actor opens his mouth. No judge that I know will tolerate interference of an interloper, and neither will I when I'm holding court. I will not wait to establish my authority; I will not let them ask my name; I will not let him raise his gavel; I will not let him open his mouth in my court. If he fails to answer I might add the following:

- c) **“By what authority does an actor in fiction come before me?”**
- d) **“By what authority does an actor present itself in my court?”**

And they can't. No fiction can appear in my court

- e) **“By what authority does an actor in fiction presume to give an order to this court?”**
- f) I might add, **“Try it again and I'll hold you in contempt.”**
- g) I might add, **“Try it again and I'll issue a warrant for your arrest.”**
- h) **“By what authority does an actor in fiction presume to give an order in my court?”** Or I might move immediately to enforcement, which as you know, is a judge's prerogative. You've seen plenty of them do that. I might move to enforcement by

- i) **Ordering the marshal to arrest the judge-in-fiction** and remove him, or
- j) **Issuing a warrant for his arrest.** If he dares question or ridicule my authority—you know how it goes—**“Well, what court is that, sir,”** I wouldn't explain any more than he would. My answer to him would be simple, **“Where do you presume the authority to question mine?”** We don't explain, we don't interpret, we don't babble.; **“Well, you know, the district of tens appears in Exodus”—No. We're not going there. I'm not going there anymore than an actor judge-in-fiction would justify his authority.**
- k) **Arresting the judge-in-fiction myself,** not a citizen's arrest, the arrest of a sovereign-in-fact judge standing in his court, or
- l) **Issuing a warrant for the arrest of his bond**
- m) **If the judge questions or ridicules my authority (“What court is that?”)...**
- n) **“Where is your authority to question mine?”** We do not explain. We do not interpret. We do not babble. (**“Well, the district of tens appears in the bible...”** **“It's the court of a living man, sir...”**) any more than an actor judge would justify his authority.
- o) **“This is your first warning and only warning. Do it again and I'll arrest your bond. Do it again, and I'll issue a warrant for your bond. Do I make myself clear?”** And I'm looking him right in the eye when I say that. Alternative statements might include,
- p) **“Are you questioning my authority?”**
- q) **“Are you presuming to question my authority?”**
- r) **“One more word and I'll hold you in contempt.”** If he persists I would act.
- s) **“I'm issuing a warrant for the bond of--sir, what's your name?”** And if he refuses to give it—I'll call him John Doe—and I will issue the warrant orally or compose it on a piece of paper, serve it on a marshal and tell him to remove that man and take him into custody because once I issue that warrant, he's working under my bond and I'll tell him so.
- t) **“Sir, you're now working under my bond and I'm ordering you to...”** and then I'll provide him with my orders, clear and concise so there is be no confusion because I'm a living man, not a stinking deceptive legal fiction.

u) If they ask you name, somehow they sneak that in—this is coming straight from the horse's mouth, again, and I thought it was brilliant:

- i) **“I am who I say I am, who are you?”** And then if the judge fails to answer, that's when I would issue an order to arrest him or order the marshal to arrest him or a warrant for his arrest for the arrest of his bond. By the way, why don't you look up the term, arrest, on-line; just put “arrest definition” in Scroogle and see what legal definitions appear. You might answer that question:
 - ii) **“I'm the man holding a warrant for the arrest of the bond of _____.”** Or...
 - iii) **“I'm the man holding a warrant for the arrest of your bond unless these shackles are removed this instant and my orders are obeyed; am I making myself clear?”**

v) Coerced for your consent. When you go to bed tonight if you're anticipating a problem later in the week then pray—normally I don't pray with specificity, I pray in general terms but for this I might pray—“Please, Lord, let them ask me to consent.” That is the opening I would be looking for. “Well, it's 4:30. If you want to go home, you're going to have to sign this appearance bond.” “Well, here's a remedy if you want to go home: sign this.” Or, “What remedy do you propose to get out of here without paying a cash bond?” I would ask to see the document, shake my head in agreement, and request a pen. And then I would turn it over and write on the reverse side or, heck, leave it on the front, leave it on the obverse side:

“Warrant for the arrest of the bond of...”

and then I would start trolling for names...

“Sir, what's your name, please?” I would begin with the marshal closest to me, “What's your name, please?” Oh, you're not going to give your name.” Fine, you're John Doe. I'm identifying him as John Doe. We'll operate under that presumption. Sir, you in the black robe, what's your name?”

And I'm going to clean house, right then and there. **“I'll be happy to sign this document. What's it going to be, do I arrest your bond or do you remove these shackles?”**

- w) **I would exercise my authority.** I think I've made this clear, but let me offer this list:
- i) I would **deputize the marshals** to work under my bond. Can you not conceive how easy that would be with a piece of paper and a pen or verbally? “What's your name?...John? You're a marshal, right, John? I'm deputizing you as a marshal in the district court to the federal circuit, a superior court to this. You're now following my orders. With all due respect I'm ordering you to place that man under arrest.”
 - ii) I would **remove officers who fail to comply;**
 - iii) I would give **notice of lien** right and left, and watch them scurry for the doors.
 - iv) I would **order arrests**
 - v) I would **issue arrests**
 - vi) I would **affect arrests**
 - vii) I would order **removal from the room** or the building
 - viii) I would order **removal of cases**
 - ix) I would order **termination of cases.** And
 - x) I would **issue an original jurisdiction Great Writ** and any other writ that I deemed necessary at the time. The writs that have been denied to you because they can't give them, are yours to issue.
 - xi) I would use all of the **intimidation tactics** I have learned from the best in the world, the actors who pretend to sit in courts in law and control our lives.

x) **Foreign Jurisdiction.** I would not consent to any benefits, privileges, negotiation or submission to a foreign authority, for instance, a heathen held out as a deity who seeks my prayers and worship. I will never pray to a man—imagine that—a million lawyers in this country who write in their documents, >I pray to the court.” Have you men lost your minds? Your mothers would be ashamed if they knew what you did.

- i) I would not consent to wearing a prison uniform other than, I might say, ‘well I'll put it on if you'll put it on.’
- ii) I would not consent to being shackled.

iii) I would not consent to being an overnight guest. “If I’m here in the morning I will order your arrest.

We’ll continue this, folks, after a three-minute break. You’re listening to Take No Prisoners at the Republic Broadcasting Network. I’m Dr. Sam Kennedy.

{break}

Welcome back, my friends. You’re listening to Take No Prisoners, a very important edition of Take No Prisoners at the Republic Broadcasting Network. Continuing with the Manual for Freedom, item x:

y) I would immediately remove all attacks on my sovereign authority and I would not do it in the manner of aikido or any other marshal art where we use their force against them. I would meet them head on like a freight train. Here are some quotes from my friend who is walking this planet as a free man:

“Put your delegated authority before me, now.”

“Would the provost marshals help you in finding your authority? I can, in fact, arrange that to happen.” Now, most of you probably cannot. But that is food for thought.

“Are you attempting to create a Constitutional crisis?” Now, when I read that I knew immediately where he was heading with it, because marshals are under a mandate to react extremely violently to anyone creating a Constitutional crisis. They are to end it then. So, something like that should only be attempted by someone who has knowledge of what one is saying and what the consequences are, thereof.

- z) Let my attitude and temperament do my talking. This is me talking, again. I would:
- look them straight in the eye;
 - never back down
 - I would be fair and benevolent but I would
 - show no fear.
 - Rather, I would be bold and cavalier and if you feel a little nervous once you get started, once you see them blink, then you’re fear will dissipate and hopefully at that point the Lord will put words in your mouth and your status as a child of God will be felt in the marrow of your bones:

“I don’t care if you throw me in jail for the weekend or the rest of my life, but you’d better kill me and all of my associates on the outside because the court will be coming for your bonds, sir. The grand jury will be returning a true bill. You will be indicted for your high crimes, here to today. Your time as an actor in this little drama is at an end and you will not be able to earn a living as a lawyer in fiction much longer. If you don’t believe me, bring it on and let’s see what happens.”

That completes the section in this manual on authority. I’m sure there is much that many of you could add to it and please feel free to do so.

Number 8

8), words from the horse’s mouth, again, regarding authority, not jurisdiction. This is so good to hear and soak into your soul:

- I would display my authority.** There are many ways to do that. That last paragraph that I just read you how I might handle a situation is one way.
- I would display my authority.**

- c) **I would issue orders.**
- d) **I would not explain myself.** As Wayne Dyer taught us in the thirty million seller, Your Erroneous Zones, when you hear yourself explaining you're being victimized. **I would order things done; I would not request that they would please do this or please do that:** "Would you please get me a chair to sit down?" Rather, "Marshal, when you return to this room have a pen with you." My attitude says: I am the court and my orders are supreme and do not forget it.

On that happy note, friends, this concludes the first hour of Take No Prisoners. We're going to return with the 2nd hour which I don't think you want to miss. We have an important announcement and some critical information to share. Thank you for joining me. We'll be back after a one-minute station identification. I'm Sam Kennedy.

{break}

Welcome back, my friends, we can't even spare the time for my favorite theme song, tonight, time is too precious. Thank you for joining me; I'm Sam Kennedy.

Item 9

9. **Covenant of peace to establish authority**, disclaimer on public property. I would ask for names and write a short ninety-day covenant declaring peaceful relations and instruct them to sign. I'm sure many of you out there can easily construct a covenant of peace. Here's one I put together; it took me thirty seconds in the particular manual for freedom.

Covenant of Peace in the Presence of Almighty Yahweh
Peace Bond

**COVENANT OF PEACE IN THE PRESENCE OF ALMIGHTY YAWEH
PEACE BOND**

I judge _____, a sovereign-in-fact, living Man on the land and judge to the original jurisdiction _____, and _____ [name of other party] in his/her private capacity, do hereby enter into this solemn covenant in the presence of the Lord, to renounce violence, aggression and belligerency in our private intercourse, and conduct ourselves Lawfully and peacefully under the word of the Lord: Love thy Brother as you would Love Thyself.

Refusal to sign this document or similar dishonor comprises the refusing party's confession that _____ is public property.

"Signed this _____ day of the _____ month in the year of our Lord two thousand and _____"

Some points about this document raised by my friend. These are questions you can ask a judge in fiction who presumed to step on your authorities.

- a. **"Where did your presumed authority derive?"**
- b. **"Is your presumed authority superior to the Authority of our living Father? YES or NO?"**
- c. **Right here – on the record. Is your word superior to the Word off the Lord? Yes or no. O the record. In front of that reporter in the gallery."**

- d. **“Am I Public Property? Yes or no?”**
- e. **“Does the defendant-in-fiction on your paper have finger prints? Did you or do you wish to finger print ME, WHY?”**
- f. **“Am I speaking to you through the act of a physical motion? Can the defendant-in-fiction speak?”**

“It’s a simple question. Excuse me, but does that defendant have mobility and sentience to conduct itself, here today or are you coming to me and if so, why? What is our connection? Where is your authority to come to me for your private business?”

Item 10.

10) **Introduce the Bible.** The family Bible, my friends, is a public record. I’ve stated that on the air; I’ve stated that in our meetings, and this would be the time to remember. That Bible should contain the history of births, deaths, marriages, christenings and baptisms with dates in the following form.

Nativity. On the third day of the second month in the year of our Lord, 1965, was born to the parents _____ Samuel and Maria of the family _____ by the grace of Almighty Yahweh and in the presence of _____ [witnesses name in] a baby _____ [boy or girl] weighing _____ pounds and _____ ounces with hair the color _____ and hereby christened...

By the way, christening has a general meaning including the bestowing of a name at birth and then it would be signed by the father or sovereign in fact, the mother and a witness. The same thing could be done for deaths, marriages and christenings.

When we return after this break, we’re going to rush through the rest over the next three segments and then we’ll have an important announcement, especially for those facing incarceration or trial, tomorrow. You’re listening to Take No Prisoners at the Republic Broadcasting Network.

{break}

Welcome back folks. Before I forget there was some confusion about the Contract Dispute Settlement Package I’d like to make clear. It is not for generalized BIC situations with courts and the like and with agencies. It’s specifically for private disputes, for instance, between two doctors or if you’re an independent contractor in a dispute with a client. It uses BIC process to really discourage any attorney from wanting to become involved. It’s available for a donation because I’m donating my labor in creating the Package to a ministry, Contemporary Christian Order. it’s available from Sharon at www.rescueteam.com. She’ll tell you what’s involved. It is not available for general distribution, either, and she’ll tell you a little bit about that, but it’s for your own personal use if you have an applicable situation. But please don’t bother Sharon with lots of questions. She won’t be able to answer them; this is not her bag. She helps people and animals, and I’m providing my blessings as best I can through this continuing donation. So, thank you for understanding. It’s a wonderful program and I do encourage people to secure it; it’s not very expensive.

Item 11

11. I would **issue an order**. Remember, we spoke about preparing an order. I would issue an order; it could be oral on the spot, it could be written, an order such as Habeas Corpus, a Writ of Prohibition, an Order of Dismissal or Removal, from my court. All of my orders are entitled to full faith and credit. That's what I expect. I would also file the order as a foreign judgment with the clerk of the fiction court.

- a. **"I am standing here (not: "before you") as the court. Here is the order of the court dismissing this case. Remove these shackles, I intend to leave."**
- b. **"I am standing here as the court. I am ordering this case dismissed and I would like to file the order with the clerk (if I had a written order) as a foreign judgment."**
- c. **"I am standing here as the court. Here is the Great Writ. Marshal, please give give this to that man (the judge-in-fiction)."** After the actor examines it, I would say... **"Does anyone come before me with authority to hold the Demandant? No? Then I hereby order the dismissal of this case-in-fiction. Now remove these shackles."**
- d. **"I am standing here as the court. I hereby issue a Great Writ of Habeas Corpus in original jurisdiction. Does anyone have the authority to come before me, and to plead just cause to hold the Demandant?..... No? Then I hereby order the dismissal of this case-in-fiction and the release of the body. Now, remove these shackles. My public business is concluded."**

I would be the demandant on that Habeas Corpus, first on the page. "Now remove these shackles. I hereby order the dismissal of this case-in-fiction." I speak the truth, by the way, folks; I have no problem calling it a case-in-fiction or calling him a judge-in-fiction...

"Are you an attorney?" "Yes, sir, I happen to be an attorney-in-fact. I understand you to be an attorney-in-fiction. Where is your authority? How do you deign to question my authority? What gives you that authority, sir? How do you presume it? I'm standing here as the court, I'm here by issue of the Great Writ. I hereby issue a Great Writ of Habeas Corpus in original jurisdiction; does anybody have the authority to come before me and to plead just cause to hold the demandant?" Now, isn't that what a Habeas Corpus is all about? I guarantee you there won't be a peep in that courtroom. Nobody wants to stick his nose into that. The higher the judge the more he knows what you've done. "No? No one comes before me, then I hereby order the dismissal of this case in fiction and the release of the body; remove these shackles. My public business is concluded."

Item 12

12. **If they fail to obey my orders**, as I mentioned, I would arrest, issue an order to place under arrest, issue a warrant for arrest, issue a warrant for arrest of bond, give notice of liens, remove from office, hold in contempt and order the actor to be held in custody, but I would also issue a subpoena commanding the presence before a 21-member grand jury to be convened, for instance, in seven days or whenever I so choose. I would hold such a hearing wherever I was, in prison or wherever. You might say there *is* a riot in cell block 9, but it's a riot of living men holding court. That's called authority.

A subpoena's very simple, it just says subpoena at the top and then it might have a couple of check offs. One of them would be you're commanded to appear in your natural form before out One Supreme Court at the place, date and time specified below to give testimony to the above proceeding. And then below it would say, 'to be held at such-and-such county, the nation such-and-such, united States of America, de jure, Main Street 110, thirty minutes past ten o'clock (all spelled out) in the morning, the third day of the second month in the year of our Lord 2008. Another check off would be, you are commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents, objects and/or items at the place, date,

and time specified below. Then I would give them a list of those items and then I would include all the other particulars, the place, the time, the date as I mentioned just a moment ago. Then the subpoena would conclude with, failure to appear will comprise a contempt of the court. And it would be signed: judge, sovereign in fact, Our One Supreme Court, original jurisdiction in the presence of Yahweh, and then it could say, District of Tens or District Court of the Federal Circuit or however else you have constructed your court to reflect your status and position, underneath that, Albany County, the nation, New York, as an example.

I would also convene a grand jury, a fully qualified 21-member grand jury of living men who are properly commissioned under their word or their pledge and silver bond which pledges them to obey the law, to seek indictment of living men for kidnapping, perjury, piracy, privateering, trafficking of slaves, enticement to slavery, impairment of contract, assault, battery and any other applicable violation of the Law. And if necessary, I would convene a trial by a competent jury.

Item 13

13. **Enticement to slavery.** If the actor attempts to give me an order or walk through my authority I might give him the opportunity to define his crimes against humanity on the record.

“Excuse me, for the record in my court, are you attempting to order to present myself as the United States person?” Or...

“Excuse me, for the record in my court, are you attempting to entice me into slavery by ordering me to present myself as a United States person?”

Depending on his response I may order him subject to any of the enforcement strategies I previously mentioned. As you might imagine, there are a dozen ways one might expand those statements and expand the possibilities in dealing with the slavery issue.

“Excuse me, what is your authority to order a living man in the presence of Almighty Yahweh to condemn himself as a legal fiction? Are you prepared to accept the consequences for trafficking in slaves in my court?” Think that might wake them up?

Item 14

14. **Fire all attorneys.** If I work with any of these first line soldiers of Pontius Pilate, then I would be confessing my status as a United States person. Those of you who work with me know that I often choose my timing carefully, usually just before the fireworks begin. I might fire, or I might suggest they run the other way using commercial process. No attorney has ever attempted to remain in my way once I made my position known. They would be nuts to do that. As a sovereign man, by the way, all non-commercial process served on attorneys as a sovereign man in this approach, in this capacity, would be in care of the bar association by registered mail where only stamps are provided on the envelope. Easiest way is to buy the stamps in advance.

Item 15

15. **Commerce, taxes, liens and OID.** Commercial process can get an actor’s attention faster than anything else. Reinforced by a sovereign man acting in Our one original Supreme Court reduces them to their most fearful status: a debtor with no intimidation recourse. They can’t intimidate us and they’re holding all liability. Can you imagine what it must be like to be in that capacity up until that moment to have believed you are an invulnerable judge?

“I’m holding a \$100,000,000 lien against this place; if you would like I can get three county notaries to place their seals upon it and send it to the provost and we can hold a yard sale.” As you may remember from last week, that was my friend’s comment, cleaned up to a certain degree.

“The court is in possession of a lien against this place in the amount of \$100,000,000. I’m prepared to issue a Notice of Lien Sale here today and we can sell your bond and the land beneath your feet to a consortium of sovereign men. Would you like me to call the provost marshal and begin the eviction?” That would be, perhaps, my approach.

“If you don’t deal with the confessed successor surety here and now, I will consider that you have vacated your oath and I will surely deal with it. Justice in my court, by the way, is swift and certain. Is that what you want me to do or would *you* like to take care of it?”

And finally, I might say **“Sir, do you have a 1099OID on the charges?”** I might address that to the prosecutor and if he says, “What?” I would ask all parties of interest including the clerk, and if not, I would tell them my public business is concluded, I’m leaving, you can go back to the business at hand; have a nice day. We’ll be back in a moment, folks.

{break}

Welcome back, my friends, you’re listening to Take No Prisoners at the Republic Broadcasting Network. So, just a word about commerce. Are commerce and sovereignty mutually exclusive? Hell no. We’ve been led to believe they are because the United States has taken authority unto itself and few have challenged it that when actions occur in commerce, they have jurisdiction. I’ve heard some luminous patriots talk about that. Well, if it’s a commercial activity, the United States can regulate it. That’s nonsense. *Commerce is ours.* I use commerce because I enjoy it and I find it lucrative and productive. There are people who are living in houses, today, because of commerce. They purchased them using BIC instruments. There are a number of them around the country. There are people who have had all sorts of success with commerce, things that we generally don’t talk about on the air, and, of course, we’ve had many courtroom victories with commerce. More importantly, after a situation like my friend went through, the judges survive. In commerce, they don’t. I received an e-mail, today, about a lien that was placed, and the judge and the prosecutor being removed from office almost immediately thereafter, This is a credible report. I hope to bring that forth next week. We have those reports all the time. I love commerce. There’s almost nothing I can’t do with commerce. But at this point in time, I think for many people facing urgent situations in a federal courtroom and twenty years incarceration and their back is to the wall like a Wesley Snipes and an Eddie Kahn, they need to take control and they need to take control yesterday, not tomorrow. Now, if you’re in this mode, you can reject commerce. As my friend said to the judge, “Don’t give me any of your commercial garbage,” and if they deny being in commerce, which has happened numerous times, you step on them. “Oh, really, I guess that’s not a bailiff, and you don’t issue bonds, so I’ll tell you what, why don’t you lie to me one more time and I’ll arrest your bond and have you removed for good. Is that what you want? Now, why don’t you tell me about commerce, again?”

Item 17 is entitled Seals.

In this document you’ll see a series of seals; there are four samples that I’ve put together. Let me read you from one or two of them for those folks who are in prison and won’t have access to this. Here’s a circle and inside it around the upper border it says, Southland, the county and along the lower border it says, Georgia, with a capital G, the land, facing downward in a circle around the outside. In the middle it says, ‘seal of Our (with a capital O) one supreme court. Below that: original jurisdiction. Below that: united (small U) States of America, dejure.

Here's another seal; on the outside it says, 'district court to the federal circuit (all in lower case letters) at the top; united States of America, de jure, along the bottom—in the middle, Judge John Christopher. That's if someone has established those credentials. You can establish the court in any name that you think suits it. Some people hate the word, dejure. They think it implies certain things that I might disagree with—that's fine. Don't use it. I would say, you do not want to imply that you are a fiction officer; you wish to make that perfectly clear. Here's a personal seal along the top. Around the border it says, The great Seal for Susan Marie, for the family Smith, and that occupies probably 340 degrees of the 360 degree arc. And in the middle it has a couple of Greek characters, alpha, and in this case I think that's an 'O'. That wouldn't be the omega sign though. Well, I have to look it up. And underneath that, four numbers identify the particular seal. So there are many ways one can put this together. There are as many seals as one wishes to design.

Finally, a number of people have asked me recently, what do they do if they're in possession of removal papers from David Clarence? I've never had a conversation with David; I very much enjoy his work, his vast knowledge and his sense of humor. He's a funny guy; he's a very interesting fellow, very knowledgeable fellow. It's not my domain to tell you what to do, but I understand the court is operating and I have a simple answer. If you're back to the wall, you're at the eleventh hour, you understand the papers in front of you: then contact David for guidance. He's a gifted teacher. And if I were in your position and my knowledge was restricted to that and my focus had been on that, I would execute the papers and that would, then, leave me with the choice of serving them in open court because it's up to me to create my remedy as the judge, or I would execute and return them to the court in Yorktown. My understanding is that the clerk in the court in Yorktown will file those papers with some necessary annexations but, obviously, David can confirm that. Remember, whether it's David, it's you, it's Keith Livingway, it's me, any believing sovereign in open court: *you* are the court, my friends, *you* are the sovereign, *you* make the rules, *you* give the orders.

We'll return in three minutes.

{break}

Welcome back, my friends, welcome back. This is Take No Prisoners; I'm Sam Kennedy. I'm going to slow down a little bit because this document is just about completed. Had I known, I probably wouldn't have rushed so much, but hopefully it's all down on the transcripts and with the Lord's blessing it will find its way into the prisons throughout the land and everyone will know what to do. So, if you write me a note saying, "Can you refer me to a Sam Kennedy's Study Club," but I say, "Yeah, Attica, New York," I may be responding seriously. When a living man, a sovereign in fact, walks into the halls of deception he must remember, you must remember, you are the court, you are the sovereign, you make the rules, you give the orders, you obey the Law, you are the only source of His word in that forum by their own confession of abandonment. You are Moses bringing the law into the land of the Pharaohs. You have your Bible under your hand. Knowing me, when that Bible gets handed to me and tucked under my arm if standing there in shackles, I'm probably going to stand and say, 'all rise,' because that's what judges and clerks do, Moses bringing the law into the land of the pagan gods.

Well, two issues, maybe three issues left to cover. What would I do if I were Eddie Kahn? Well, Eddie has no attorney to fire so they're going to bring Eddie in and they may even have advanced notice of what he's about to do or what I would do if I were there. I don't know what Eddie's going to do.

I would stand very quietly, and the second the judge makes his presence known, I think I would go immediately, I would skip over authority and go immediately to the Great Writ and say, "I'm standing

here as the court and here is a Great Writ of Habeas Corpus,” handing it over to the marshal, “which I am serving under order of this court.” Now, that Great Writ would be headed: Our one supreme court, held at whatever county, nation, whatever state, a superior court for the people, original jurisdiction under Yahweh with exclusive superior jurisdiction under confederation, united States of America, dejure. Named as the demandant would be the People on the Land, as an example, Albany County, nation, New York, united States of America, dejure, ex rel.—I would probably take that out because I don’t want any Latin in here—Embodied in the Living Man’s Name, my name, Samuel Thomas Kennedy. And it would be against—I would list the living Christian name of the judge, the warden, the director of the police agency, whoever is applicable, bring forth the body. So, I think I might go right to that very slowly and deliberately having that tucked in my drawers if necessary, pulled out, and provided to their court from my court.

“Here is a Great Writ. I am commanding you to bring forth the body and to step forward if anyone can show just cause and authority to detain me any longer. The court has spoken. Does anyone come before me with the authority to detain this living man, and if not, I’m going to remove these shackles.”

If I wanted to have some real fun, I would give an order dismissing the case. Knowing me I’d have both orders prepared and ready to go. I haven’t thought about Eddie’s case; I’ve been preparing for this program all day both in contemplation and in writing, but it appears to me as I think about it now that there are a great number of options that Eddie could use. When he opens his mouth, the judge is going to attempt to knock him off path. It could be anything from, “Mr. Kahn, you need to get an attorney” to “Mr. Kahn, if you don’t keep quiet I’m going to have the guards muzzle you.” At that point I’d go right to it.

“By what authority do you come before this court, sir,” and I wouldn’t stop there. That might catch him by surprise; he might answer. Whatever he does, my next step is going to place him under arrest. **“If you utter one more word without a delegation of authority that you can produce in front of me now that’s greater than my authority under the Almighty, I’m going to order that marshal to place you under arrest.”** Now, let’s see what happens; it could be no worse than being kidnapped and sitting in prison indefinitely while they hold the kangaroo court. And then all of the methods and statements and technologies which I hope in a matter one weekend can become a part of one’s character would come to bear. What would a *judge* do under those circumstances? And if the judge moves into abject assault and battery and actually orders someone to place a gag or remove me, I’m going to react accordingly. I’m going to make the consequences clear. I’m going to stop that party even if I’m in shackles. I’m going to stop him in his footsteps. **“Do not take another move; I’m placing you under arrest. Marshals, arrest that man, remove him from the building; I don’t want to see him in my sight again.”** I’m going to find the weakest link in that room just like they find the weakest link. I’m going to attack the one party who hesitates, and expand from there. Remember in the Outlaw, Josie Wales, when he was crossing the street in that town and those four soldiers confronted him and he shot three of them and then that wonderful native American, an old timer, the former chief, shot the other. And when they were out traveling on the prairies the old timer asked Josie, how he knew what he was going to do, and he said, “Well, the one with the crazy eyes, I knew he would draw, first, so I went for him first. The other one, he had fear in his eyes so I didn’t pay him no mind and the older fellow said, “What about the fourth one?” And Josie said, “I paid him no mind, you were there.” It’s the same principle, I’m going to look for the weakest link and attack it. The young marshal who’s confused, the bailiff who’s half asleep, the clerk, I’m going to use liens and liabilities, warrants for the arrest of the bond, warrants for arrest, orders for their arrest, and all the other things we spoke about. Probably a dozen others could come to mind if I had more time, today. So let me read you from this very simple one-paragraph Great Writ. It says, below the caption, it fits on one page:

Great Writ

Imagine we do away with the hundred pages petitioning them to issue it and here's what we issue:

"We the people, by sole and exclusive right to be free and self governing, in exercise of our exclusive jurisdiction to issue all necessary writs in aid of our respective jurisdiction, hereby command you, all named Respondents, jointly and severally, under this binding Writ of Habeas Corpus to produce and disclose the body corpus of _____ [May be Demandant or other party], sovereign-in-fact, living Soul on the land who you are holding under restraint of liberty, at **fifteen minutes after three P.M.** on the land **Main Street, number one hundred and three, Albany county, nation New York** at which time you are commanded to exhibit, disclose and verify under pains and penalties of perjury against the People to this court the lawful nature and cause of the restraint of liberty if any there be, by order of the court and filed with the clerk of the court and recorded in the public record of **Albany county, the nation New York.**

If such restraint of liberty is found to be without cause and unlawful, the court will order the immediate release of the body.

So ordered this _____ day of third _____ in the year of our Lord _____, in the third century of the divinely ordained independence of America.

If you're in prison and can't record it, then don't include that sentence. It does not reduce your effectiveness, Or you can indicate the court where you will be recording it.

See, you are commanding them to verify, disclose and exhibit under pains and penalty of perjury to your court, the court of Yahweh, to disclose the lawful nature and cause of the restraint of liberty. And they cannot comply. If such restraint of liberty is found to be without cause and unlawful, the court will order the immediate release of the body. And that's exactly what you do. It is so ordered this third day of the second month in the year of our Lord in the third century of the divinely ordained independence of America. That's one way to do it. Or you could keep it simple, 'in the year of our Lord', although we don't know the Lord's calendar. By rights when we're doing an abatement it should have no date because we are not wise enough to know the date. But sometimes we use the date properly spelled out as you just witnessed. And then it would be signed, Judge, sovereign in fact, underneath that, Our one supreme court, original jurisdiction, under that, in the presence of Yahweh. It might add district of tens, it might add district court to the federal circuit, under that, Albany County, the nation New York. For those who don't understand district of tens, you might read Exodus and see when Moses' father is talking with him about delegating his authority. The original delegation of authority coming down to rulers of thousands and of hundreds, and fifties and of tens, the wise man having the authority over the family and over the district of tens, the small communities to interpret the law. As I recall, it says that if they do not have the appropriate answers or do not have the capability to handle the question, that they should then bring it to Moses. That could be a whole other program in and of itself.

This Writ of Habeas Corpus and the Writ of Prohibition and the Manual for Freedom are available at www.commonlawvenue.net

Don't go rushing there now; it's not there yet and it won't be until tomorrow morning. And at that time you probably will be able to download this audio as well at Republic Broadcasting Network archives. So, if I were Eddie I would walk in there, I would be ready both mentally and by temperament. I would perhaps have a couple of pieces of paper on my person and I would take control of that courtroom. I would expect them to provide a day or two of imposed argument and sleep deprivation. I'd be prepared for it. That would be my penance for my mistakes of the past. It would be absolutely critical in this case to rescind all prior process. And in Eddie's case, a piece of paper on that (Notice of Rescission) would be nice. "Here's a Notice of Rescission I'm filing with your clerk by this court." It could be an order from the court: "...an Order of Rescission, from this court rescinding all signatures of the living man, Eddie--I don't know Eddie's middle name {Ray}—of the family Kahn on all documents other than acceptances for

value.” There would also be a Notice of Waiver of Benefits and Privileges, as we discussed, and that, too, could be done, probably should be done, by an order of the court. Once you have those in place, the rest is up here in the head. That’s where it happens. And in the heart, that’s where the belief is. At that point *you do not back down*. We know in our heart that we have placed into this everything that we need. We haven’t asked them to consider, we’re not worried if due process will lie or not lie, we’re not asking for their discretion, we’re not asking for their judicial review. *We’ve* done it, it’s done. It’s signed, sealed and delivered, as they say. When that phrase was conceived they were talking about sovereign men and women. So it all comes down to how badly do you want it.

Anyway, let me just say, let me observe, that next week, possibly the week after, we’re planning to have a special guest, our friend the patriot who stripped the emperor of his raiment. And I’m also hoping to have Keith Livingway as a guest. As far as I know, Keith is the founder or one of the founders of the cancellatura process, and that process has matured greatly and has been streamlined and I think he’d like to bring that message forth consistent with what we’ve been speaking about today.

So, friends, I hope you join me in the Second Exodus, the year of our Lord, 2008. To my friends who are waiting at this very moment in motel rooms along side various United States gulags. This is the moment of truth. I know you’re there with members of your family. Tomorrow, you’re supposed to report as a felon. Tomorrow, you subject yourself to endless humiliation at the hands of complete stranger for a crime you were never able to commit. Tomorrow, you throw yourself on the mercy of the devil soldiers, men who worship uniforms and badges and have no conscience and no connection to you other than a piece of paper issued by a stranger and a piece of paper that claims dominion over your life in some fashion. Tomorrow, you accept the concept of praying to men.

And we will address that issue in the final segment when Take No Prisoners returns in two minutes.

{break}

Welcome back, folks, thank you for joining me. To my friends sitting—you can imagine sitting in that motel—those of you who are not awaiting a report to the iron door of a federal prison, tomorrow. Tomorrow, those of you who are, you accept the concept of praying to men, to badges you never agreed to support, to presumption of your status as a slave, to slivers of paper that were conceived to make you consent to be a slave. Tomorrow, you become Hilary Clinton, a facilitator to tyranny and paganism. You confess by your actions to being an inmate, a parolee, an ex-con, a plague on society by your own admission. Tomorrow, you enter their house, your rights turned into privileges from fictions of your own creation. You submit to whatever evil tests strangers wish to concoct. You become a child of the devil, a pagan worshipper setting the worst possible example to your children and grand children who would know better if you were around. My father’s a felon, subject of Warden John Smith, a confessed pagan and complete stranger to you. Tomorrow, you elect to place yourself in years of mortal danger where your life and your health could be lost against your will and you have no power to stop it.

For those who might wish you to serve your time, for those loved ones who feel you should serve your time because you deserve it, I ask those people to think ahead. How will you feel if your loved one loses his life or succumbs to cancer while in the joint because you used your influence to make him confess to impossible crimes. Will you suddenly feel the pangs like the proud mother who volunteered her son into the army because she was too lazy to do the hard work of raising him to be pious? And then she was presented with his bones in a body bag. Would that mother have sung a different tune if the United States had disclosed when he was inducted that her son is their property? Would you sing a different tune now that you know that your loved one is the lowest form of property, a confessed debtor felon? Or do you

still insist that he relinquishes morality, his soul and his divinity to promote a peaceful image for the neighbors?

You do not have to be a slave. Tomorrow, instead, you can be re-born in the image of Christ choosing to be the free man that the Almighty Yahweh intended. How much shame did Jesus accept as His burden? I've searched, I've looked, I've read, and as far as I know, none. If you choose freedom at the precipice of defeat your words will have unlimited value thereafter to thousands and thousands of freedom loving men and women. You can tour the country teaching others or they will come to you and no master, no master, will ever again control you, your livelihood or your family. You don't have to worry about the career you're leaving behind.

These are the words of truth and I hope you will consider them well in the long dark hours till morning. Good night and God bless, my friends.

(PLEASE SEE MANUAL OF FREEDOM ON THE NEXT PAGES.)

**MEMORANDUM
TERMINATING WHILE IMPEDED
Ver 1.1**

IF IT WAS ME, I WOULD...

2. **KEEP IT SIMPLE** at this late date, unless I had time to develop commercial remedies.
3. **GIVE POA** to my intended attorneys-in-fact. And possibly signature in computer or on stamp.
4. **FINISH ALL OUTSTANDING COMMERCIAL PROCESS / ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY** including liens underway, circumstances permitting. I direct liens against the bonds of debtors.
5. **CORRECT PAST ERRORS**
 - a. **TOP PRIORITY: RESCIND ALL SIGNATURES nunc pro tunc** (retroactive to the signing date) on all slave documents, appearance bonds, MOTIONS, and pre-trial and probation agreements. If many were signed in prison, I would perform a global rescission:

I, _____, sovereign-in-fact, a living Christian Man on the Land until proven otherwise, do hereby rescind for cause of failure to disclose risks, perils and responsibilities all signatures executed in my natural and representative capacities without exception on a certain appearance bond dated _____ and any all other offers, documents, instruments, and process issued to me or legal fiction _____ in the matter of _____, with the exception of any and all signatures executed for the purpose of accepting for value any such offer(s). All such offers, proceedings, documents, actions, statements and instruments are hereby **accepted for value** and returned for full credit by notice, all such acceptance signatures whether express or implied remaining in full force and effect.”
 - b. **TOP PRIORITY: FILE NOTICE OF WAIVER OF BENEFITS AND PRIVILEGES, & NOTICE DISSOLVING ALL ADHESION CONTRACTS**
nunc pro tunc standing hereafter on my right of avoidance and give notice of same (time permitting) by filing in the county.
 - c. **IF TIME PERMITS: FILE NOTICE OF ALL THE ABOVE** on secretary general of the United Nations, U.S. president, secretaries of state, transportation and commerce, attorney general, directors of the Secret Service, FBI, Marshal’s Service and Bureau of Prisons, Supreme Court, applicable appellate circuit and district courts of the United States. Similar notice is given to the State fiction counterparts of the above.
 - d. **OPTIONAL: SETTLE AND CLOSE ALL LIABILITIES** attributed to the Defendant.
REDRAFT ALL CONTRACTS using BIC process (indictments, pre-trial, etc.)
(time permitting)
6. **PREPARE MY COURT.** I will not petition their fictions for a toothpic. I ISSUE ORDERS from MY jurisdiction, as the court. MY court is the court of Yaweh, the One supreme court, a superior court to all others, the *original* jurisdiction (The Constitution is NOT the original jurisdiction. It’s NO jurisdiction. It’s consolidation; discrimination, in violation of treaty. Like plasma television, it was an interim technology until men were ready to apply the truth. The Constitution has never had authority over an insect, let alone living men. It remains an effective tool when used politically to exploit the need of public officials and judges to pay it lip service. I enjoy evoking their public admission of its legendary rights and remedies]. In earthly terms, one way of many to present a sovereign court is:

Our one supreme court
held at _____ county, nation _____
a superior court for the People, original jurisdiction under Yaweh
third judicial district of tens
exclusive superior jurisdiction **of the district court to the federal circuit** under confederation
united States of America, dejure

District of tens is derived from Exodus 18:21. As David Clarence has noted, 28 USC 1451 defines for purposes of the removal chapter (1) The term “State court” includes the Superior Court of the District of Columbia; (2) The term “State” includes the District of Columbia. All State and Federal courts are in the District of Columbia circuit. We can fill the abandoned offices of county notary, clerk of court and justice of the peace (judge) by filing our oath, commission, silver bond and seal for each of those offices in the public venue. If I was so qualified, then I would use the heading noted above or a simplified variant:

district court to the federal circuit
held at _____ county, _____ nation
a superior court for the People, original jurisdiction under Yaweh
united States of America, dejure

7. **PREPARE AN ORDER SUCH AS HABEAS CORPUS, WRIT OF PROHIBITION, ORDER OF DISMISSAL, ORDER OF REMOVAL** from my court for presentation in open court as a foreign judgment, and I would sign multiple original copies for myself and my attorneys-in-fact. I would place the seal of my court on them either by embosser or draw one by hand if I am indisposed (see below).
8. **WHO AM I?** Once I *know, believe and truly understand* that I am the court, I will act accordingly.
 - a. **AUTHORITY.** We do not argue jurisdiction. They cannot overcome and we do not allow them to overcome the Authority issue. Does their piece of paper grant them Authority superior to my granted Authority through the Word of Yahweh? Accordingly, I will not tolerate their questioning my authority anymore than a fiction “judge” would show such tolerance.
 - b. **“By what authority do you come before me.”** We posture that question the very first time the actor opens his mouth. No judge will tolerate the interference of an interloper. And neither will I. I will not wait to establish MY venue. If he fails to answer, I might add...
 - c. **“By what authority does an actor-in-fiction come before me?”**
 - d. **“By what authority does an actor-in-fiction present itself in my court?”**
 - e. **“By what authority does an actor-in-fiction presume to give an order to this court?”**
 - f. I might add, **“Try it again, and I’ll hold you in contempt.”**
 - g. I might add, **“Try it again, and I will issue a warrant for your arrest.”**
 - h. **“By what authority does an actor-in-fiction presume to give an order in my court?”** Or I might move immediately to enforcement (a judge’s prerogative) by.....
 - i. **Ordering the marshal to arrest the judge-in-fiction** and remove him, or
 - j. **Issuing a warrant for his arrest,** or
 - k. **Arresting the judge-in-fiction myself,** or
 - l. **Issuing a warrant for the arrest of his bond**
 - m. **If the judge questions or ridicules my authority (“What court is that?”)...**
 - n. **“Where is your authority to question mine?”** We do not explain. We do not interpret. We do not babble. (“Well, the district of tens appears in the bible...” “It’s the court of a living ~~man, sir...~~”) any more than an actor judge would justify his authority.
 - o. **“This is your first warning and only warning. Do it again, and I’ll arrest your bond. Do I make myself clear?”** Alternative statements include:
 - p. **“Are you questioning my authority?”**
 - q. **“Are you presuming to question my authority?”**

- r. **“One more word and I’ll hold you in contempt.”** If he persists...
- s. **“I’m issuing a warrant for the arrest of the bond of –Sir, what’s your name?”** We can issue the warrant orally or compose it on a piece of paper and serve it on a marshal...
- t. **“You’re now working under my bond, and I am ordering you to...”**
- u. **NAME.** When asked my name:
 - i. **“I am who I say I am. Who are you?”** If he fails to answer, I would issue an order to arrest the judge or order the marshal to arrest the judge. Or...
 - ii. **“I’m the man holding a warrant for the arrest of the bond of _____”**
 - iii. **“I’m the man holding a warrant for the arrest of your bond unless these shackles are removed this instant and my orders are obeyed.”**
- v. **COERCED FOR CONSENT.** If asked to sign **anything** (eg. appearance Bond): I would:
 - i. Ask to see the document
 - ii. Request a pen
 - iii. Turn it over and write on the reverse side (or on the obverse side):

Warrant for the Arrest of Bond of _____

- iv. And I would then start trolling for names. **“Sir, what’s your name please?”**
- w. **EXERCISE MY AUTHORITY.** I would...
 - i. **Deputize a marshal to work under my bond**
 - ii. **Remove officers**
 - iii. **Give notice of liens**
 - iv. **Order arrests**
 - v. **Issue arrests**
 - vi. **Order removal from the room or the building**
 - vii. **Order removal of cases.**
 - viii. **Order termination of cases.**
 - ix. **Issue an original jurisdiction Great Writ and other writs.**
 - x. **Use all of the intimidation tactics I have learned from the actors.**
- x. **FOREIGN JURISDICTION.** I would **NOT** consent to any benefits, privileges, negotiation or submission to a foreign authority **aka a heathen held out as a deity who seeks my prayers and worship.** I would...
 - i. **Not consent to wearing a prison uniform** other than, **“I’ll put it on if you’ll put one on.”**
 - ii. **Not consent to being shackled**
 - iii. **Not consent to being an overnight guest**

“If I am here in the morning, I will order your arrest.”

- y. **REMOVE ALL ATTACKS ON MY SOVEREIGN AUTHORITY...**
 - i. **“Put your Delegated Authority before me NOW!”**
 - ii. **“Would the Provosts Marshals help you in finding your Authority? I can in fact arrange that to happen.”**
 - iii. **“Are you attempting to create a Constitutional Crisis?”** (A very serious step. The Marshall may shoot anyone creating a Constitutional crisis. Should only be attempted with knowledge of what ONE IS saying.)
- z. **LET MY ATTITUDE AND TEMPERAMENT DO MY TALKING.** I would
 - i. **Look them straight in the eye.**

- ii. **Never back down.**
- iii. **Be fair and benevolent** but...
- iv. **Show no fear.** Rather, I would be **bold and cavalier**...

"I don't care if you throw me in jail for the weekend or the rest of my life. But you had better kill me and all of my associates on the outside, because the court will be coming for your bond. The grand jury will be returning a true bill. You will be indicted for your high crimes here today. Your time as a actor in this little drama is coming to a close. You will not be able to earn a living as a lawyer-in-fiction. You don't believe me? Bring it on. Let's see what happens."

9. WORDS FROM THE HORSE'S MOUTH: AUTHORITY. NOT JURISDICTION:

"I would display my authority."
"I would order things done."
"I would not request if they would please do this or that."
 I'm the court and my orders are supreme.

10. COVENANT OF PEACE TO ESTABLISH AUTHORITY.

DISCLAIMER ON PUBLIC PROPERTY. I would ask for names then write a short 90 day covenant declaring peaceful relations, and instruct them to sign.

**COVENANT OF PEACE IN THE PRESENCE OF ALMIGHTY YAWEH
PEACE BOND**

I judge _____, a sovereign-in-fact, living Man on the land and judge to the original jurisdiction _____, and _____ [name of other party] in his/her private capacity, do hereby enter into this solemn covenant in the presence of the Lord, to renounce violence, aggression and belligerency in our private intercourse, and conduct ourselves Lawfully and peacefully under the word of the Lord: Love thy Brother as you would Love Thyself.

Refusal to sign this document or similar dishonor comprises the refusing party's confession that _____ is public property.

"Signed this _____ day of the _____ month in the year of our Lord two thousand and _____"

- a. **"Where did your presumed authority derive?"**
- b. **"Is your presumed authority superior to the Authority of our living Father? YES or NO?"**
- c. **"Am I Public Property?"**
- d. **"Does the defendant-in-fiction on your paper have finger prints? Did you or do you wish to finger print ME, WHY?"**
- e. **"Am I speaking to you through the act of a physical motion? Can the defendant-in-fiction speak?"**

11. INTRODUCE THE BIBLE. The family bible is a public record. It should contain the history of births, deaths, marriages, christenings, and baptisms, with dates in the form:

Nativity: On this the third day of the second month in the Year of our Lord one thousand, nine hundred and sixty-five, was born to the parents _____ and _____ of the family _____ by the Grace of Almighty Yahweh and in the presence of _____ [WITNESS] a

baby _____ weighing six pounds and ten ounces, with hair the color of _____ and hereby christened
_____ [GIVEN NAME OF CHILD].

Father, sovereign-in-fact

Mother

Witness

12. **ISSUE AN ORDER SUCH AS HABEAS CORPUS, WRIT OF PROHIBITION, ORDER OF DISMISSAL, ORDER OF REMOVAL** from my court for presentation in open court as a foreign judgment. All of my orders are entitled to **full faith and credit**. I would file the order as a foreign judgment with the clerk of the fiction court.

- a. **"I am standing here (not: "before you") as the court. Here is the order of the court dismissing this case. Remove these shackles, I intend to leave."**
- b. **"I am standing here as the court. I am ordering this case dismissed and I would like to file the order with the clerk (if I had a written order) as a foreign judgment."**
- c. **"I am standing here as the court. Here is the Great Writ. Marshal, please give give this to that man (the judge-in-fiction)."** After the actor examines it, I would say... **"Does anyone come before me with authority to hold the Demandant? No? Then I hereby order the dismissal of this case-in-fiction. Now remove these shackles."**
- d. **"I am standing here as the court. I hereby issue a Great Writ of Habeas Corpus in original jurisdiction. Does anyone have the authority to come before me, and to plead just cause to hold the Demandant?..... No? Then I hereby order the dismissal of this case-in-fiction and the release of the body. Now, remove these shackles. My public business is concluded."**

I will speak the truth. I have no problem calling it a case-in-fiction or calling him a judge-in-fiction...

"Are you an attorney?"

"I happen to be an attorney-in-fact. I understand you to be an attorney-in-fiction. Where is your authority? How do you deign to question my authority? What gives you that authority, sir? How do you presume it? I'm standing here as the court, I'm here by issue of the Great Writ. I hereby issue a Great Writ of Habeas Corpus in original jurisdiction; does anybody have the authority to come before me and to plead just cause to hold the demandant?" Isn't that what a Habeas Corpus is all about? There won't be a peep in that courtroom. The higher the judge the more he knows what you've done. **"No? No one comes before me, then I hereby order the dismissal of this case in fiction and the release of the body; remove these shackles. My public business is concluded."**

13. **IF THEY FAIL TO OBEY MY ORDERS**

- a. **I would:**
 - i. **Arrest**
 - ii. **Issue an order to place under arrest**

- iii. **Issue a warrant for arrest**
- iv. **Issue a warrant for arrest of bond** to place the offender under mine
- v. **Give notice of lien***
- vi. **Remove from office**
- vii. **Hold in contempt** and order the actor to be held in custody
- viii. **Issue subpoena** commanding presence before 21 member grand jury to be convened, for instance, in 7 days. I would hold such a hearing in a prison if necessary, each member issuing notice of rescission on all previous documents. Yes, there IS a riot going on in cell block number 9. It's called Authority

[court heading]

SUBPOENA

___ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in your natural form before Our one supreme court _____ [FROM YOUR COURT HEADING] at the place, date and time specified below to give testimony to the above proceeding:

to be held at _____ county, the nation _____
 united States of America, dejure
 Main Street, number one hundred and ten
 thirty minutes past ten o'clock in the morning
 the third day of the second month in the Year of Our Lord two thousand and ___

___ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents, objects and/or items at the place, date and time specified below:

list of items/documents:
 to be held at _____ county, the nation _____
 united States of America, dejure
 Main Street, number one hundred and ten
 thirty minutes past ten o'clock in the morning
 the third day of the second month in the Year of Our Lord two thousand and ___

Failure to appear will comprise a contempt of this court.

 Judge, sovereign-in-fact
 Our one supreme court, original jurisdiction
 in the Presence of Yaweh, **district of tens**
district court to the federal circuit
 _____ county, the nation _____

- ix. **Convvene grand jury.** A fully qualified 21 member grand jury of living Men properly commissioned under oath and silver bond pledged to obey the Law to seek indictment of living men for kidnapping, perjury, piracy, privateering, trafficking in slaves, enticement to slavery, impairment of contract, assault, battery and any other applicable violation of the Law.
- x. **Convvene a trial jury.**

14. **ENTICEMENT TO SLAVERY.** If the actor attempts to give me an order or walk through my authority, I might give him the opportunity to define his crimes against humanity:

- a. **“Excuse me, for the record in my court, are you attempting to order me to present myself as a United States person?” Or...**
- b. **“Excuse me, for the record in my court, are you attempting to entice me into slavery by ordering me to present myself as a United States person?”**
- c. Depending on his response, I may order him placed under arrest for **enticement to slavery or trafficking in slaves – capital crimes.**

15. **FIRE ALL ATTORNEYS.** If I work with these first line soldiers of Pontius Pilate, I confess my status as a U.S. person. I often choose my timing carefully, usually just before the fireworks begin. I might fire, or I might suggest they run the other way using commercial process. No attorney has ever attempted to remain in my way once I made my position known. As a sovereign man, all non-commercial process served on attorneys would be in care of the Bar association by registered mail, stamps only.

16. **COMMERCE, TAXES, LIENS, OID** – Commercial process can get an actors attention real fast. Reinforced by a sovereign man acting in Our one original supreme court, reduces them to their most feared status: a debtor with no intimidation recourse.

- a. **“I am holding a \$100M lien against this place. If you would like, I can get three county notaries to place their seals upon it and send it to the provost, and we can hold a yard sale.”**
- b. **“The court is in possession of a lien against this place in the amount of \$100M. I’m prepared to issue a Notice of Lien sale here today and we can sell your bond and the land beneath your feet to a consortium of sovereign men. Would you like me to call the provost marshal and begin the eviction.”**
- c. **“If you don’t deal with the confessed successor surety, I will consider you have vacated your oath and I will surely deal with it. Justice in my court is swift and certain. Is that what you want me to do?”**
- d. **“Sir, do you have a 1099-OID on the charges?”** Regardless of what he says, I would ask all parties of interest including the clerk. And if not...**“Then my public business is concluded.”** At that point, we depart and do NOT pause no matter what is said.

17. **REJECTION OF COMMERCE.**

- a. **“Don’t give me any of your commercial garbage.”** If they deny being in commerce...
- b. **“Oh really. I guess that’s not a bailiff, and you don’t issue bonds. So I tell you what...**

“Why don’t you lie to me one more time and I’ll arrest your bond and have you removed for good. Is that what you want?”

18. **SEALS.** Time and circumstances permitting, all of my papers would bear my personal seal or the seal of the People’s office I am filling. If I did not have a seal, I would draw one and/or use my thumbprint. Sample’s I might put together on the spur of the moment include the following, with many possible variants:





People have asked me what to do if they are in possession of removal papers from David Clarence.
Simple answer:

1. Contact David for guidance. He is a gifted teacher.
2. Execute the papers and serve them in open court, or
3. Execute and return them to the court in Yorktown for the clerk to file with the necessary annexations
4. Remember, as is true for me, for you, for David, for any believing sovereign...in open court:

YOU ARE THE COURT
YOU ARE THE SOVEREIGN
YOU MAKE THE RULES
YOU GIVE THE ORDERS
YOU OBEY THE LAW
YOU ARE THE ONLY SOURCE OF HIS WORD IN THAT FORUM
YOU ARE MOSES BRINGING THE LAW INTO THE LAND OF THE PHAROAHS

Freedom is a belief. Be free and prosper, my friends.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

How to Move Habeas Corpus

HC is ex parte almost always but there are exceptions. The true commonlaw HC is composed of four or five elements.

Petition which can serve as affidavit if verified.

Affidavit

Order to clerk from judge to issue the writ.

The writ

Supporting docs, from lower court, indictment, information etc.

Once these are submitted then you give the court two days to act, as all HC involve liberty interests, they are really important and the writ takes precedence over everything else. Such HC can be delivered to the judge directly on holidays, Sundays, or at all hours and it is his duty to call a clerk and meet no matter what the hour or day. Once the judge reviews the petition and paper he signs the order for the production of the key people involved which in the case of incarceration is to the sheriff or marshal to produce the prisoner. The judge then hears the Petition and any parties necessary to resolve the issue.

If the judge doesn't move on the Petition in two days, he has effectively suspended it and you move up with jurisdiction. From any court, in a week you can be in the US Supreme court, if you don't cut them any slack. The common law is often codified in the code for habeas corpus relief, however the states and feds have abused the process and substituted a false remedy known as "personal restraint petition" which is not the same. Lawyers for lack of better sense will use the latter which is just like an appeal in effective process. It can take years to complete which is actually a denial of common law due process. The personal restraint petition is not a plain, adequate or speedy remedy, it is a code remedy only.

If you look at the Washington codes about title 4 or 5, you will see all the writs codified and the conditions for habeas corpus which it says can be brought by any interested party, doesn't even have to know the prisoner, just the circumstance of unlawful incarceration or restraint of liberty. In other words anyone knowing the circumstances can file a petition and there is no cost for the petition for writ, but personal restraint petitions cost the same as appeal generally except in Federal court then it is \$5.

The last one we did was a man not in jail, however his liberty was suppressed by complete economic domination to the point he couldn't afford to move or hardly could live. If you are used to making \$100,000 per year and the IRS garnishes your paycheck and leaves you \$550 to live on, then you may as well be in jail because at least they would house and feed you. Effectively you are under house arrest and at half the national poverty guideline, you are under severe punishment not unlike a corruption of blood or bill of pains and penalties, which are outlawed in our country.

In federal court all Constitutional issues are heard as a matter of right and jurisdiction is by virtue of the Constitution. In three filings you are in the Supreme court and the same is true of state cases as you sue the judge of the trial court and move to the state supreme court, then

to the US Supreme court. However, you must be careful not to bring the suit under code, it must be under the jurisdiction of the Constitution itself for subject matter jurisdiction. In other words, your jurisdictional justification is the First Amendment, 4th, 5th, 6th or 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th amendments.

There are two things that are important in the petition:

You state there is no other plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law. You state the civil courts are not disturbed, there is no rebellion or insurrection and there are no troops in the streets. There is no interest of the people served by incarceration.

The Feds and States can not replace or suspend the writ in times of peace, nor abrogate it by any statute at large or session law. If they replace the remedy it is a fraud, open and notorious as no man should be allowed to suffer loss of liberty for innocence. Too many are put in prison today for no reason at all, some are political and some are just in the way of some evil party wanting to dominate the other.

The only real and honest cause of incarceration is to protect the people from injury. For instance the AG of Washington said that no person could be incarcerated for malum prohibitum crimes like failure to sign a traffic ticket or a the federal level failure to file as they don't injure another in his person or property. There are no injured parties in these kind of cases and that is why under the common law, there can be no incarceration as it doesn't do anything to protect the public. In fact it is counter productive, as that party becomes a drain on society and produces no GNP or service because they are isolated from their normal jobs.

Evidently we have a very sick minded People that don't put a stop to such non sense. Every bit of product or service we produce enriches our society and when you take a working man out of work, when there is no effective protection reason other than pure domination and tyranny, then you destroy your economy and cease to protect natural and common law basic rights to freedom and productivity.

HABEAS CORPUS

Subject: [citizensoftheUnitedStatesofAmerica_irs] Writs source Judiciary Act of 1789 and Constitution of the United States .

Attachment:

[1 Stat 81-82 - Judiciary Act of 1789 - 1 Stat. 81-82.](#)

[S 3930 ES](#) To authorize trial by military commission for violations of the law of war, and for other purposes.

Military Commissions Act - Habeas Corpus, Geneva Convention.

Amended previous post.

Article 9 section 2 of the Constitution of the United States is the source of the Privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus, to wit;

The Privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, unless when in Cases of Rebellion or Invasion the public Safety may require it.

The *original* source of the Writ of Habeas Corpus and other Writs is in the Judiciary Act of 1789, i.e. 1 Stat 81-82 section 14, which is beyond the scope of the post to follow it through in all of the changes, but you can see how the treasonous actions of 1948 abolished unlawfully the Writs sitting today in 28 U.S.C. § 1651.

The Judiciary Act of 1789 has never been repealed to date.

Guess what, Congress has no Grant of Power to abolish, abridge, enlarge or regulate the Writ of Habeas Corpus concerning the citizens of the several States. So what is all of the hoopla in the patriot circles and news about concerning the denial or granting of the Writ of Habeas Corpus to the unlawful alien enemy combatants in Cuba ? More smoke - no fire!

How many people have ever read the Act. It has some very dangerous parts to it being unlawful alien enemy combatants and lawful alien enemy combatants.

The problem lies in the definition of "alien" being a "citizen of the United States ." No absolutely Congress can deny or whatever to those people.

A very real problem is that a "lawful enemy combatant" is military. An "alien unlawful enemy combatant" is also military. So if I don't fit into either defined category, as I am not military, it would seem that I would subject to their unlawful detention.

But not to worry, Congress has it fixed up so that you can be picked up and sent to Cuba, and then the protections kick in Section 7 of the Habeas Corpus Matters (pg 58), to wit:

`(e)(1) No court, justice, or judge shall have jurisdiction to hear or consider an application for a writ of habeas corpus filed by or on behalf of an **alien detained by the United States who has been determined by the United States to have been properly detained as an enemy combatant or is awaiting such determination.**

`(2) Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3) of section 1005(e) of the Detainee Treatment Act of 2005 (10 U.S.C. 801 note), no court, justice, or judge shall have jurisdiction to hear or consider any other action against the United States or its agents **relating to any aspect of the detention, transfer, treatment, trial, or conditions of confinement of an alien who is or was detained by the United States and has been determined by the United States to have been properly detained as an enemy combatant or is awaiting such determination.** '.

Who determines if I am an "alien" - especially if I am whisked away to Cuba ? Notice the use also of "enemy combatant" for determination and this isn't defined, only "alien unlawful enemy combatant" or "lawful enemy combatant." By their standards in this ACT, I could be considered an "enemy combatant." That is a warm and fuzzy thought isn't it.

I wonder what the weather is like in Cuba ?

The denial of the Geneva Convention is also a real problem to demonstrate the tyranny of our current purported government.

Ralph

=====

*532 Chief Justice Marshall, in the course of the debates of the Virginia State Convention of 1829--1830 (pp. 616, 619), used the following strong and frequently quoted language:

'The Judicial Department comes home in its effects to every man's fireside; it passes on his property, his reputation, his life, his all. Is it not, to the last degree important, that he should be rendered perfectly and completely independent, with nothing to influence or control him but God and his conscience? * * * I have always thought, from my earliest youth till now, that the greatest scourge an angry Heaven ever inflicted upon an ungrateful and a sinning people, was an ignorant, a corrupt, or a dependent Judiciary.'

In a very early period of our history, it was said, in words as true today as they were then, that **'if they (the people) value and wish to preserve their Constitution, they ought never to surrender the independence of their judges.'** Rawle on the Constitution (2d Ed.) 281.

O'Donoghue v. United States , 289 U.S. 516, 532 (1933)